

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,225	02/13/2002	Yasuo Tokitoh	218197US0	4815
22850 7.	590 12/29/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	1, 771 22514		1754	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Intonious Commons	10/073,225	TOKITOH, YASUO					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Edward M. Johnson	1754					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Edward M. Johnson.	(3)						
(2) <u>Stephen Koshmeider</u> . (4)							
Date of Interview: <u>09 December 2003</u> .							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☑ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: all pending.							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants will attempt to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art based upon X-ray diffraction intensity curve</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
		•					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes   e)   No. If Yes, brief description:      Claim(s) discussed: all pending.    Identification of prior art discussed:   was reached.   g)   was not reached.   h)   N/A.    Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants will attempt to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art based upon X-ray diffraction intensity curve.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See							

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Mulul